# **FILED**

#### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

#### FEB 16 2006

### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

# CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HARISH SINGH, as next friend and father of Neha Singh,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 03-55556

D.C. No. CV-02-08950-DDP

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Dean D. Pregerson, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2006 \*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, RYMER and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Harish Singh appeals pro se from the district court's order dismissing his habeas corpus petition for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Reviewing de novo, Brady v. United States, 211 F.3d 499, 502 (9th Cir.

2000), we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court.

## AFFIRMED.